

May 28, 2026 MIS Update Webinar Q&A

Questions about EOPS elements

My apologies if this was covered in another session, but can you provide more clarification on the updates for SE01 and SE04? Thank you!

The changes to the SE01 and SE04 elements are based on updates to EOPS eligibility criteria and registered unit requirements for foster youth and NextUp students. SE01 definition language was modified to align with the updated eligibility criteria, but the coding definitions were not changed. The edits for SE04 were updated to prevent the reporting of zero registered units, which was previously allowed for NextUp students in 2024-25 and 2025-26.

Questions about Dual Enrollment (CCAP/MCHS/ECHS) elements

How do we report MCHS and ECHS if they are open to take most sections at our colleges?

How would we code dual enrollment partnership sections that allow enrollment of both regular and high school students?

[XB14] would this be used for a class offered at a high school location, intended for high school students as requested by the high school - say after school - but open to the public and regardless of the makeup of the class once enrollment is completed?

XB14 is intended to identify sections which are offered via a dual enrollment MOU, such as those which are offered solely to high school students which are held on a high school campus during the school day. Sections open to all students and not offered via a dual enrollment MOU should be reported as XB14 = Y regardless of the enrollment of MCHS and ECHS students in the section. CCAP students should continue to be identified in SG13 and MCHS and ECHS student should continue to be identified in SG07.

Questions about NextUp/CAFYES and Foster Youth elements

How can we report a student who is only part of NextUp program? EOPS and NextUp now are separated, so basically NextUp ONLY students shouldn't be part of the EOPS MIS report. Thanks

For 26-27, will the MIS pages in peoplesoft separate EOP&S and NextUp so that we are able to mark students NextUP eligible without marking them EOP&S eligible?

To clarify, if a student is being served by both NextUp and EOPS, we do report on the EOPS MIS screen that they are a NextUp students?

Can you clarify the difference between SG03 and SG11?

NextUp students must have SG03 and SG11, correct?

Does priority registration come off of SG03? When a FY turns 26, are they automatically removed from SG03?

MIS reporting for student participation in EOPS and NextUp programs is distinct. EOPS participation is reported in the SE file and NextUp participation is reported in SG11. Students participating in both programs should be reported as such in both the SE file and SG11.

SG03 and SG11 are related but have distinct definitions that are intended to identify specific student populations. SG03 is intended as a demographic characteristic identifying students who are current or former foster youth. Once a student is identified as current or former foster youth, that classification should not change regardless of the student age. SG11 is intended as an indicator for students who participated in the NextUp program at the college during the reporting term.

Specifics of identification and/or coding of students participating in EOPS and/or NextUp programs and students identified as current or former foster youth varies based on the college student information system and how it is configured. The CCCC MIS staff do not have visibility into local systems, but encourage college program staff and district SIS/ERP administrators to collaborate to ensure the process of student classification is correct.

Questions about Vision Aligned Reporting elements

Why wasn't basic needs added [to VR01] originally? Just curious.

Any plan to deprecate SG23 STUDENT-BASIC-NEEDS?

The VAR programs included in the initial MIS specification and collected for 2025-26 were recommended by the VAR Consultation Committee and considered input from other stakeholders and advisory committees. As the VR data collection matures there is a possibility that SG23, and other data elements, may be determined to be duplicative and no longer necessary. The retirement and/or deprecation of any such data elements will be messaged in the annual MIS data submission specification updates.

Other general questions

Any news on the common SIS?

Updated information on the Common Cloud initiative is posted by the Common Cloud project team at these locations...

<https://ai.cccco.edu/initiative/common-cloud/>

<https://www.cccco.edu/-/media/CCCCO-Website/docs/report/2026commoncloudreport12a11y.pdf>

General question: what changes are anticipated, or implemented, related to Reimagine Apply? Some data will not be collected from the student's application, or the values in the application are changing.

The Reimagine Apply initiative is streamlining the application process and some data previously sourced from CCC Apply may no longer be collected. MIS data elements may be updated to align with changes to the availability of data.

More information on the Reimagine Apply initiative is available here...

<https://www.cccco.edu/About-Us/Chancellors-Office/Divisions/Information-Services-Technology-Innovation/reimagine-apply>

I had a question about SB15 - if a student is a first-time student after high school but is taking classes concurrently in a different district, would they be considered 1 - first or 2 - first time transfer?

SB15 should be reported with respect to attendance at the college, so a student enrolling in college for the first time after high school should be reported as SB15 = 1 regardless of enrollments at other colleges in the same term.

Any plans with obsoleting/deprecating the SA (Student Assessment) file?

As the list of approved assessment instruments has been dwindling as a result of placement reforms related to AB 705 and AB 1705, the SA reporting requirement has similarly decreased. There are currently only a few single remaining approved ESL placement instruments at a few colleges, so most districts are currently not subject to the SA file submission requirement. Once the approval of the remaining assessment instruments has expired, the SA file submission may be retired.

Will there be updates to SB22 in light of the changes to title 5 with the April 9 memo? Basically changing "probation" to "notice" and also changing "dismissal" to "pause". This is effective April 17 2026 with mandatory compliance by Oct 14 2026.

We normally only update data element definitions once a year with an annual cycle of proposed changes collected in fall, review and approval occurring in January/February, final changes messaged in Spring, and implementation occurring during the August MIS data submission maintenance window to take effect for the academic year Summer through Spring. If the changes to Title 5 are only updating the language for SB22, we can update the specification to match the terminology used in the regulations outside of the normal cycle.

Will it ever be possible to submit just CB as a stand-alone submission?

MIS data submissions and resubmissions currently require all term files to be submitted together to enable referential and data quality edits to be performed. We have considered the possibilities of partial submissions and transactional differences, but have not been able to determine a submission mechanism that would be an improvement on the burden of file submission and edit processing with consideration of data quality, but we will continue to seek improvements and are open to suggestions and collaborations.